Mod Ref UDP Ref Site Ref	Existing UDP Wording – 1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
IR Page No. Mod - Mod/K/E/1 UDP — Bradford North, Employment Mod - Mod/K/E/2	the latest approved by Council) 5.0 ECONOMY AND EMPLOYMENT	5.0 THE ECONOMY, AND EMPLOYMENT AND TOURISM A) The following changes be made to Chapter	Consequential change, following the title change in the Revised Deposit Policy Framework. Change also to be made to Contents page. For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report
UDP – K/E1.1 SOM/K/H2/227 Site – Lyon Road, Eastburn IR – Keighley – Pages 43-44, 145	K/E1.1 LYON ROAD, STEETON WITH EASTBURN (3.26)3.26 Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A greenfield site on the edge of the settlement. Access limitations could restrict development of the site to that of expansion land for adjacent firms. Part of site is liable to flooding and balancing facilities for surface water would be required. Development would be required to ensure preservation of streams, trees and hedges. Potential contamination of the site will require investigation. The site is in the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision.	A) The following changes be made to Chapter 5 of the Keighley Volume: K/E1.1 LYON ROAD, STEETON WITH EASTBURN (3.26)3.26 Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A greenfield site on the edge of the settlement. Access limitations could restrict development of the site to that of expansion land for adjacent firms. Part of site is liable to flooding and balancing facilities for surface water would be required. Development would be required to ensure preservation of streams, trees and hedges. Potential contamination of the site will require investigation. The site is in the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision. B) The following text be added to chapter 4 of the Keighley volume: K/UR5.41 LYON ROAD, STEETON WITH EASTBURN (3.26) A greenfield site on the edge of the settlement. Access to the site is severely limited. The site is within high risk zone 3b of PPG25, therefore residential development would be unacceptable. Development of the site for the future expansion of the adjoining employment use would need to take account of increased precision in the determination of	For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report

Mod Ref UDP Ref Site Ref IR Page No.	Existing UDP Wording – 1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is the latest approved by Council)	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
		the boundaries of the floodplain, the availability of alternative locations and the implementation of appropriate flood mitigation measures. C) The Keighley Proposals Map be amended as shown in plan number Mod/K/E/2:	
Mod - Mod/K/E/3 UDP - K/E1.4, SOM/K/GB1/17, SOM/K/E1/17, SOM/K/OS1/17, Site - Keighley Road/Belton Road IR - Keighley/Pages 44-46, 57, 182, 205- 206,	K/E1.4 KEIGHLEY ROAD/BELTON ROAD, SILSDEN 13.13 Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A greenfield site beyond the edge of the settlement. Development would need to preserve landscape quality, bio-diversity and comply with Policy with regard to flood risk. The site may be contaminated. The site is in an employment zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision, and a comprehensive development scheme for the whole of the site is sought in accordance with Policy E2.	A) The following changes be made to chapter 5 of the Keighley volume: K/E1.4 KEIGHLEY ROAD/BELTON ROAD, SILSDEN 13.13 Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A greenfield site beyond the edge of the settlement. Development would need to preserve landscape quality, bio-diversity and comply with Policy with regard to flood risk. The site may be contaminated. The site is in an employment zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment prevision in support of the 2020 Vision, and a comprehensive development scheme for the whole of the site is sought in accordance with Policy E2. B) The following text be added to chapter 4 of the Keighley volume: K/UR5.40 KEIGHLEY ROAD/BELTON ROAD, SILSDEN 13.13 A greenfield site beyond the edge of the settlement. Any future development would need to preserve landscape quality, bio-diversity and comply with Policy with regard to flood risk. The site may be contaminated. The is located in the Airedale Corridor, a prime	Refer to full statement of decision in Appendix 2. Whilst the Council accepts the recommendation to delete the employment allocation E1.4, it does not accept the recommendation to add the land to the green belt, but instead proposes that the site be allocated as safeguarded land. The inspector has not demonstrated special circumstances to allocate the land as green belt in accordance with the "Copas" Principle.

Mod Ref UDP Ref Site Ref IR Page No.	Existing UDP Wording – 1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is the latest approved by Council)	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
		location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision, and a comprehensive development scheme for the whole of the site is sought.	
		C) The proposals map be amended as shown in plan number Mod/K/E/3.	
Mod - Mod/K/E/4 UDP - K/E1.5 Site - Belton Rd, Silsden IR - N/A	K/E1.5 BELTON ROAD, SILSDEN 4.99 Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A greenfield site within the settlement. Retention of mature trees is essential, as is the provision of a buffer zone to the residential properties to the north of the site. The site may be contaminated. The site is in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1and B8 employment provision as specified in the Hainsworth Road Development Brief (adopted in 1989) and in support of the 2020 Vision. A comprehensive development scheme for the whole of the site is sought in accordance with Policy E2. Planning permission for a building society headquarters has been granted on part of the site since April 2000.	K/E1.5 BELTON ROAD, SILSDEN 4.99 Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A greenfield site within the settlement. Retention of mature trees is essential, as is the provision of a buffer zone to the residential properties to the north of the site. The site may be contaminated. The site is in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1and B8 employment provision as specified in the Hainsworth Road Development Brief (adopted in 1989) and in support of the 2020 Vision. A comprehensive development scheme for the whole of the site is sought in accordance with Policy E2. Planning permission for a building society headquarters has been granted on part of the site since April 2000.	This change first appeared in the Council's Pre Inquiry Changes document published in January 2003. The purpose of the change was to reflect recent approvals that almost complete to site's potential.
Mod - Mod/K/E/5 UDP - K/E6.1	K/E6.1 Keighley Road, Silsden	A) The following amendments be made to chapter 5 of the Keighley volume: K/E6.1 Keighley Road, Silsden	This is a consequential change following changes to the allocations of Site K/E1.4 Keighley Road/Belton Road and Site K/E1.9 Sykes Lane, Silsden.
Site – Keighley Road, Silsden IR – Not Applicable	Revised Zone from the adopted UDP. The boundary of the zone includes a minor revision to that on the adopted UDP to exclude	Revised Zone from the adopted UDP. The boundary of the zone includes a minor revision to that on the adopted UDP to	

Mod Ref UDP Ref	Existing UDP Wording – 1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Site Ref IR Page No.	Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is the latest approved by Council)		
	housing at, and adjacent to , Low House Drive	exclude housing at, and adjacent to , Low House Drive. The area of the Employment Zone has been reduced and the boundary altered to exclude the Belton Road Employment Site and part of the Sykes Lane employment site.	
		B) The proposals map be amended as shown in plan number Mod/K/E/5.	
Mod - Mod/K/E/6 UDP - K/E1.9, SOM/K/UR7/18, SOM/K/OS1/18, SOM/K/GB1/18		A) Delete part of allocation K/E1.9 on the proposals map and locate a phase 1 housing allocation on the eastern part of the site, utilising the previously developed land in that area.	A) For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report.
Site – Sykes Lane, Silsden		B) In the Keighley Proposals Report under Policy K/H1 Housing Sites, insert:	B) Consequential modification to A.
IR – Keighley Proposals Page 49		K/HI.40 SYKES LANE, SILSDEN A new brownfield site close to Silsden Town centre and fronting the Leeds Liverpool Canal and Conservation area.	
		C) Allocate the western section of the site K/E1.9 as safeguarded land.	C) The Inspector has not demonstrated special circumstances to allocate the land as green belt in accordance with the 'Copas' principle. See the full 'Statement of Decision' in Appendix 3.
		D) In the Keighley Proposals Report under Policy UR5 Safeguarded Land, insert:	D) Consequential modification to C.
		K/UR5.37 SYKES LANE, SILSDEN A new Greenfield site revised from that allocated for employment purposes in the adopted UDP. It lies on the south western fringes of the settlement.	
		E) Amend the Keighley Proposals Report as follows:	E) Partly as a consequential modification to A. The central part of the site is retained as employment land to provide for local need and for the expansion of existing local employers. This will help maintain
	K/E1.9 SYKES LANE, SILSDEN 8.41	K/E1.9 SYKES LANE, SILSDEN	Silsden as a more sustainable settlement. See the full 'Statement of

Mod Ref UDP Ref Site Ref IR Page No.	Existing UDP Wording – 1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is the latest approved by Council)	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
	Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A greenfield site within the settlement. Development would need to include a buffer to the Leeds - Liverpool Canal SEGI and Conservation Area. Drainage infrastructure investment is required along with archaeological and bio-diversity surveys. The site may be contaminated. The site is in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision, and a comprehensive development scheme for the whole of the site is sought in accordance with Policy E2.	Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A greenfield site within the settlement. Development would need to include a buffer to the Leeds - Liverpool Canal SEGI and Conservation Area. Drainage infrastructure investment is required along with archaeological and bio diversity surveys. The site may be contaminated. The site is in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision, and a comprehensive development scheme for the whole of the site is sought in accordance with Policy E2. A Greenfield site carried forward from the adopted UDP. It lies within the Airedale Corridor and is a good location forB1 and B2 employment provision in accordance with 2020Vision. F)The proposals map be amended as shown in plan number Mod/K/E/6	Decision' in Appendix 3.
Mod - Mod/K/E/7 UDP - K/E1.11 SOM/K/E1/22 SOM/K/GB1/22 SOM/K/H1/22 SOM/K/BH7/22 SOM/K/OS1/22 SOM/K/OS2/22 SOM/K/OS3/22 SOM/K/TM20/22 Site - Ashlands Road, Ilkley	K/E1.11 ASHLANDS ROAD, ILKLEY (1.03) A new greenfield site within the settlement, one of only two Employment Sites in Ilkley. The site is well related to town/local facilities and public transport services. Development must incorporate a cycleway and publicly accessible recreation open space to redress a local deficiency. Development restricted to B1 or B8 uses to prevent adjacent housing being adversely affected.	K/E1.11 ASHLANDS ROAD, ILKLEY (1.03) A new greenfield site within the settlement, one of only two Employment Sites in Ilkley. The site is well related to town/local facilities and public transport services. Development must incorporate a cycleway and publicly accessible recreation open space to redress a local deficiency. Development restricted to B1 or B8 uses to prevent adjacent housing being adversely affected. Development of the land should make provision for the retention of mature trees on the site.	For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report

Mod Ref	Existing UDP Wording –	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
UDP Ref	1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised		
Site Ref IR Page No.	Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is the latest approved by Council)		
IR – Keighley – Pages	the latest approved by councily		
52-54, 57-58,			
76,168,171,183, 188,194,208			
100,134,200			
Mod - Mod/K/E/8		A) The following changes he made to the tout	
		A) The following changes be made to the text:	PPG25 advises local authorities to apply the 'precautionary principle' when considering issues of flood risk. The Guidance also makes it
UDP K/E1.18- K/E1.21	K/E1.18 BEECHCLIFFE (SOUTH), KEIGHLEY 2.58	K/E1.18 BEECHCLIFFE (SOUTH), KEIGHLEY 2.58	clear that built development within functional floodplains should be
N/L1.21	, , ,	, , , ,	wholly exceptional and limited to essential transport and utilities infrastructure that has to be there. The Inspector recognises at
Site - Beechcliffe,	Site carried forward from adopted UDP. Abrownfield site within the urban form.	Site carried forward from adopted UDP. Abrownfield site within the urban form.	paragraph 5.57 that the areas of washland are accepted as
Keighley	Development of the site would need to	Development of the site would need to	functional flood plain in this location.
IR – Keighley/ Pages	comply with Policy NR15A with regard to flood risk and must preserve bio-diversity but	comply with Policy NR15A with regard to flood risk and must preserve bio-diversity	However, in considering the objection the Inspector seems to have
54-56	would reclaim contaminated land. The site is	but would reclaim contaminated land. The	failed to fully address the precautionary principle, when considering
	in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8	site is in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1,	the flood risk issues. At paragraph 5.58 the Inspector inappropriately applies evidence on mitigation submitted on site SOM/K/E1/352, to
	employment provision in support of the 2020	B2 and B8 employment provision in	this site. There were no mitigation proposals submitted in support of
	Vision.	support of the 2020 Vision.	this proposal. The Inspector concluded that he had no evidence to indicate that such measures could not be implemented and as such
	K/E1.19 BEECHCLIFFE (NORTH: ADJACENT TO	K/E1.19 BEECHCLIFFE (NORTH: ADJACENT TO	does not discount the areas of washland from potential development.
	THE A629), KEIGHLEY 3.77	THE A629), KEIGHLEY 3.77	This approach is in direct conflict with the precautionary principle, which logic would dictate requires clear evidence that acceptable
		Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A	mitigation measures are available in advance of its in principle
	Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A greenfield site on the edge of the urban form.	greenfield site on the edge of the urban	allocation. In light of the lack of consideration of any evidence of appropriate mitigation measure the inspector should have followed
	Development of the site would need to comply	form. Development of the site would need to comply with PolicyNR15A with regard	the precautionary principle.
	with PolicyNR15A with regard to flood risk and incorporate a buffer zone to protect	to flood risk and incorporate a buffer zone	
	Beechcliffe Ings SEGI. The site may be	to protect Beechcliffe Ings SEGI. The site may be contaminated. The site is in an	
	contaminated. The site is in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime	Employment Zone and the Airedale	
	location for B1, B2 and B8 employment	Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of	
	provision in support of the 2020 Vision, and a comprehensive development scheme for the	the 2020 Vision, and a comprehensive	
	whole of the site is sought in accordance with	development scheme for the whole of the site is sought in accordance with Policy	
	Policy E2.	E2.	

Mod Ref UDP Ref Site Ref IR Page No.	Existing UDP Wording – 1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is the latest approved by Council)	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
	K/E1.20 BEECHCLIFFE (NORTH: OFF ROYD LANE), KEIGHLEY 0.88 Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A brownfield site on the edge of the urban form. Development of the site would reclaim contaminated land but would need to comply with Policy NR15A with regard to flood risk and must preserve bio-diversity of this and the adjoining site, which includes a SEGI. The site is in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision.	K/E1.20 BEECHCLIFFE (NORTH: OFF ROYD LANE), KEIGHLEY 0.88 Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A brownfield site on the edge of the urban form. Development of the site would reclaim contaminated land but would need to comply with Policy NR15A with regard to flood risk and must preserve bio-diversity of this and the adjoining site, which includes a SEGI. The site is in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision.	
	K/E1.21 BEECHCLIFFE (NORTH: ADJACENT TO THE RAIL LINE), KEIGHLEY 2.25 Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A brownfield site on the edge of the urban form. Development would reclaim contaminated land but would need to comply with Policy NR15A with regard to flood risk and must preserve bio-diversity. The site is in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision.	K/E1.21 BEECHCLIFFE (NORTH: ADJACENT TO THE RAIL LINE), KEIGHLEY 2.25 Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A brownfield site on the edge of the urban form. Development would reclaim contaminated land but would need to comply with Policy NR15A with regard to flood risk and must preserve bio-diversity. The site is in an Employment Zone and the Airedale Corridor, a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision. B) The following text be added: K/E1.18 BEECHCLIFFE, KEIGHLEY 5.41 Amalgamation of four sites carried forward from the Adopted UDP. A combination brownfield/greenfield site on the edge of	

Mod Ref	Existing UDP Wording –	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
UDP Ref	1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised	1 Toposca Moamoanon	Troubbillion mountained
Site Ref	Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is		
IR Page No.	the latest approved by Council)		
IK Page No.	the latest approved by Council)	the urban area. Development of the site would need to comply with Policy NR15A with regard to flood risk, consequently only 5.41 ha of land is available for development, the remaining 4.07 ha being categorised as functional floodplain (Zone 3c in PPG25 Classification). The development will also have to preserve the biodiversity of the area and provide a buffer zone to protect Beechcliffe Ings SEGI. The site may be contaminated and will require a full contamination survey. The site is within the Beechcliffe/Hard Ings Employment Zone and is a key site in the Strategic Development Corridor. It is a prime location for B1, B2 and B8 employment provision in support of the 2020 Vision. A comprehensive development scheme for the whole site is sought especially in relation to policies NE9 and NR15A.	
		C) The proposals map be amended as shown in plan number Mod/K/E/8	
Mod - Mod/K/E/9		A) The text be amended as follows:	For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report
UDP - K/E1.22 SOM/K/H1/20	K/E1.22 STATION ROAD, OXENHOPE (0.49)	K/E1.22 STATION ROAD, OXENHOPE (0.49)	To the reasons set out in the inspector's report
Site - Station Road, Oxenhope IR - Keighley - Pages 56-57,75	Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A part brownfield part greenfield site on the edge of the settlement. Development must protect mature trees, adjacent open countryside and bio-diversity, and enhance the Lower Town Conservation Area.	Site carried forward from adopted UDP. A part brownfield part greenfield site on the edge of the settlement. Development must protect mature trees, adjacent open countryside and bio-diversity, and enhance the Lower Town Conservation Area.	
		B) The employment site allocation be deleted from the proposals map as shown in plan	

Mod Ref UDP Ref Site Ref IR Page No.	Existing UDP Wording – 1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is the latest approved by Council)	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
		number Mod/K/E/9.	
Mod - Mod/K/E/10 UDP — SOM/K/E1/238; SOM/K/GB1/238; SOM/K/NR/238 Site — Land at Bradford Road, Crossflatts, Bingley IR — Keighley Proposals Pages 59 — 60 & 230		A) The following text to be inserted into the Keighley Proposals Report. K/E1.23 New Greenfield predominately flat employment site. The site is well located for employment use and within the Airedale development corridor. B) The following changes to be made to the Keighley Proposals Map as shown in plan number Mod/K/E/10: The area indicated on the map to be allocated for employment. The Greenfield and washland boundaries to be amended to reflect the above changes.	The Council accepts the recommendation though it does not fully accept that the reasoning given by the Inspector in paragraph 5.81 of his report, as written, represents exceptional circumstances for the removal of land from the Green Belt. The Council does, however, consider that exceptional circumstances exist for making the proposed Green Belt change. In paragraphs 3.19 and 3.20 of his report into the Policy Framework volume of the Plan, the Inspector points out the two main exceptional circumstances which could justify Green Belt deletions. These are firstly the removal of land to meet the district's development needs and secondly to remove anomalies in the original delineation of Green Belt boundaries or anomalies which have arisen since the boundaries were first defined. The latter circumstance applies in this instance as it is clearly an anomaly that the current Green Belt boundary cuts across the central section of the site and does not relate to any identified feature on the ground.